Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/741,657	LAW ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.	1643
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.	(3) <u>Michael Biro</u> .	
(2) <u>Susan Harlocker</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>15 May 2007</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
·		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A		
Claims discussed: 71-87		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
The Examiner proposed entry of an Examiner's amendment that representatives authorized entry of the amendment.	would place this applicaton in con	nditon for allowance; Applicant's
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.	e examiner will provide a writte	en summary of the substance
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary	ecord of the substance of the y by the examiner appears in F	Interview, since the interview Part II above.
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)